



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,298	09/866,298 05/25/2001		Horst Muhlfeld	22750/476 4312	
26646	7590	07/09/2002			_
KENYON &			EXAMINER		
ONE BROAD			GORR, RACHEL F		
NEW YORK,	NY 10004				
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1711	*
				DATE MAILED: 07/09/2002	Ŏ

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

)	AS-				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
_	09/866,298	MUHLFELD ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Rachel Gorr	1711				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fi cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed days will be considered timely. rom the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 M	<u>//ay 2002</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims		·				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12-14</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 15-20</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	l ti					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	:	·				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	cation No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).				
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application has been	received.				
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4 	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-11 and 15-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Heidingsfeld in view of Ulrich, Morikawa, and Woods.
- 3. Heidingsfeld discloses mixing thermoplastic polyurethanes (TPU) with additional polyisocyanate (component d of the invention)(col. 1, lines 45-50). The thermoplastic polyurethane comprises the a, b and c components of the invention. He shows polyol (a) at the top of col. 2, diisocyanates (b and d) (middle col. 2) and chain extenders (component c of invention) at the top of col. 3, and NCO/OH ratios of 0.95-1.10 (top col. 2). In Table 2, he discloses adding 1-1.5 wt.% additional polyisocyanate. Heidingsfeld differs from the invention by showing both aromatic and aliphatic polyisocyanates. He differs from dependent claim 16 by mixing the polyurethane in an extruder rather than in a tumbling mixer. He differs from dependent claims 17-20 by not disclosing all the same applications.
- 4. Ulrich shows that aliphatic polyisocyanates make color-stable polyurethanes (see first sentence under Introduction).
- 5. Morikawa (col. 4, lines 6-14) discloses that polymer compositions can be prepared by extruders or tumbling mixers.
- 6. Wood discloses that thermoplastic polyurethanes can be used for a large variety of applications.

- 7. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to choose to use aliphatic polyisocyanates in Heidingsfeld's polyurethane because Ulrich shows this as a way of making color-stable polyurethanes. It would have been obvious to use a tumbling mixer because Morikawa shows this mixer equivalent to an extruder for compounding polymer formulations. It would have been obvious to use Heidingsfeld's polyurethane for many applications because Wood shows a variety of applications for thermoplastic polyurethanes.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Murata reference also discloses adding polyisocyanates to thermoplastic polyurethanes.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rachel Gorr whose telephone number is (703) 308-3608. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon., Tues., Thurs., Fri., from 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jim Seidleck can be reached on (703) 308-2462. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9310 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

RACHEL GORR PRIMARY EXAMINER